Threads

M1 MOSIG – Operating System Design

Renaud Lachaize

Acknowledgments

- Many ideas and slides in these lectures were inspired by or even borrowed from the work of others:
	- Arnaud Legrand, Noël De Palma, Sacha Krakowiak
	- David Mazières (Stanford)
		- (**many slides/figures directly adapted from those of the CS140 class**)
	- Remzi and Andrea Arpaci-Dusseau (U. Wisconsin)
	- Randall Bryant, David O'Hallaron, Gregory Kesden, Markus Püschel (Carnegie Mellon University)
		- Textbook: Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective (2nd) Edition) a.k.a. "CSAPP"
		- CS 15-213/18-243 classes (many slides/figures directly adapted from these classes)
	- Textbooks (Silberschatz et al., Tanenbaum)

Threads

• **A thread is a schedulable execution context**

- Program counter, stack, registers ...
- By default, a process uses only one thread
- But it is also possible to have a multi-threaded process
	- Multiple threads running in the same memory address space

Why threads?

- **Most popular abstraction for concurrency**
	- All threads in a process share memory and file descriptors
	- A lighter-weight abstraction for communication than inter-process communication mechanisms (e.g., pipes, sockets, files)
	- Lower resource consumption: a process context requires more resources (memory, initialization and context switching time) than a thread context
- **Allows a process to use multiple CPUs (parallel execution)**
- **Allows a program to overlap I/O and computation**
	- Do not block the whole process when only a part of it should be blocked
	- E.g., a threaded Web server can handle several clients simultaneously

Thread package (pseudo) API

- **tid thread_create (void (*fn)(void *), void *arg);**
	- Create a new thread, run **fn** with **arg**
- **void thread_exit();**
	- Destroy current thread
- **void thread_join(tid thread);**
	- Wait for thread **thread** to exit
- And also lots of support for synchronization (see next lectures)
- **Some important design choices** (details on next slides):
	- A given thread package can provide either preemptive or nonpreemptive (a.k.a. cooperative) threads
	- Kernel-level threads versus user-level threads

Preemptive vs. cooperative threads

• **Preemptive threads**

- **A thread can be preempted at any time** to allocate the CPU to another execution context, e.g., another thread (from the same process) or another process .
- Rely on time multiplexing, thanks to timer interrupts
- **Multiple threads (within the same process) can run in parallel on multiple CPUs.**

• **Cooperative threads**

- Within a given process, **at most a single thread** is allowed to run at a given point in time.
- Within a given process, **a thread switch can only happen when**:
	- the thread explicitly releases the CPU (calls yield () or terminates)
	- the thread issues a blocking syscall (e.g., for disk or network I/O)
- Note: parallel execution & preemption w.r.t. other processes remain possible.

Preemptive vs. cooperative threads (continued)

• **Discussion**

- Preemptive threads cause/expose more concurrency bugs (studied in upcoming lectures) because there are many more possible thread interleavings)
	- Cooperative threads provide a simpler programming model for concurrent tasks
- Cooperative threads cannot take advantage of multiple CPUs
- Cooperative threads may let a "misbehaving" thread monopolize the CPU … but only up to the CPU share of the enclosing process
- Before multiprocessor architectures became prevalent, many threading implementations were cooperative

Kernel threads vs. user threads

- **"Kernel threads" (kernel-managed threads)**
	- The kernel is aware that a process may encapsulate several schedulable execution contexts.
	- The kernel manages these execution contexts.
- **"User threads" (user-managed threads)**
	- Such execution contexts are managed from a library running in user level.
	- The kernel is not aware of them, it only manages the encapsulating process, with a single execution context.

Kernel threads

- **thread_create()** is implemented as a system call
- Faster than full process creation but still relatively heavy-weight

Limitations of kernel-level threads

• **Every thread operation must go through kernel**

- Create, exit, join, synchronize or switch for any reason
- On a modern processor, a syscall takes (approx.) 100+ cycles, while a function call takes 5 cycles
- Result: threads 10x-30x slower when implemented in kernel

• **Heavier memory requirements**

- E.g., each kernel thread requires a fixed-size stack within kernel (in addition to its user-level stack)
- One-size-fits-all thread implementation
	- Kernel threads must please all people
	- Maybe you pay (time and space overhead) for fancy features (priorities, etc.) that you do not need

User threads

- Thread management implemented in a user-level library
	- One kernel-thread per process
	- **thread_create()**, **thread_exit()**, ... are just library functions

Implementing user-level threads (as a library) [Advanced]

- Allocate a new stack for each invocation of **thread_create()**.
- Keep a queue of runnable threads.
- Replace some potentially blocking system calls (e.g., related to I/O: **read()**/**write()**/etc.) with non-blocking version.
	- If operation would block, switch and run different thread.
- Schedule periodic timer signal (**setitimer()** and **SIGALRM**).
	- Switch to another thread upon arrival of Unix signal triggered by userdefined timer (preemption).

Limitations of user-level threads

- **Cannot take advantage of multiple CPUs.**
- **A blocking system call blocks all threads** within the same process.
	- Some system calls can be replaced by non blocking ones (e.g., to read from network connections).
	- But, depending on the OS, this is not always possible for all potentiallyblocking system calls (e.g., for disk I/O).
- **A page fault blocks all threads** within the same process.
	- (More on page faults in another lecture.)
- **Possible deadlock if one thread blocks on another**.
	- May block entire process and make no progress.
	- (More on deadlocks in another lecture.)

Another possible threading design: user threads on (several) kernel threads

- **User-level threads implemented on top of kernel-level threads**
	- Multiple kernel-level threads per process
	- **thread_create()**, **thread_exit()** are still library functions
- **Sometimes called "***N:M* **threading" (or "***M:N"***) or** "**hybrid**" **threading**
	- Have *N* user threads per *M* kernel threads
	- ("simple" user-level threads are *N:1* and "simple" kernel threads are *1:1*)

Limitations of *N:M* threading

- **Many of the same problems as** *N:1* **threads**
	- Blocked threads, deadlock, ...
- **Hard to keep the number of kernel threads the same as available CPUs**
	- The kernel knows how many CPUs are available and also knows which kernel-level threads are blocked … but tries to hide these things to applications for transparency.
	- So a user-level thread scheduler might think that a thread is running while the underlying kernel thread is blocked
- **The kernel does not know the relative importance of threads**
	- Might preempt kernel thread in which library holds important lock

Advanced details

Threads: behavior upon **fork()**/**exec()**

- What happens if one thread of a process calls **fork()**?
	- Does the new process duplicate all threads? Or is the new process single-threaded?
	- Some Unix systems have chosen to have two versions of **fork()**
	- In general, only the calling thread is replicated in the child process
		- All of the other threads vanish in the child, without invoking threadspecific cleanup handlers
- What happens if one thread of a process calls **exec()**?
	- Generally, the program replaces the entire process, including all threads
		- Without invoking any thread-specific cleanup handler

Thread cancellation

- **One may want to cancel a thread before it has completed**
	- Example: when multiple threads concurrently search for a given data item in a database
	- Or when you hit the stop button of a Web browser, all the threads in charge of loading the code of the web page and the various images should be cancelled

• **Asynchronous cancellation**

- One thread immediately terminates the target thread
- Main issue: what if resources have been allocated and/or the target thread is in the midst of updating data shared with other threads?
- May lead to incoherent state

• **Deferred cancellation**

- The target thread periodically checks whether it should terminate, giving it an opportunity to terminate itself in an orderly fashion
- $-$ Such points are called cancellation points 28

Signal handling

- Handling signals in a single-threaded program is straightforward
- **In a multi-threaded program, who should receive the signal?** Several possibilities:
	- Deliver the signal to the thread to which the signal applies (e.g., **SIGSEGV**)
	- Deliver the signal to every thread in the process
	- Deliver the signal to certain threads in the process
	- Assign a specific thread to receive all signals for the process
- POSIX threads have the **pthread_kill(pthread_t tid, int signal)** function
- **In many Unix systems, the decision is usually made as follows:**
	- Only a single thread receives a given signal instance within a process
	- If the signal is clearly related to a given thread, select this one
		- E.g., in case of a hardware fault (like **SIGSEGV**), or a call to **pthread_kill()**
		- Otherwise, select an arbitrary thread within the process

Thread-specific data

- **All threads share the data of the enclosing process.**
- **In some circumstances, each thread may need to have its own copy of certain data.**
- Most thread libraries provide some support for thread-specific data:
	- POSIX Thread-specific data (a relatively complex API)
	- **"Thread local storage"** (non-standard but simpler and implemented in different Unix variants like Linux, FreeBSD and Solaris)
- **Thread-local storage – example:**
	- Simply include the **__thread** specifier in the declaration of a global or static variable
	- Example: **static __thread char buf[BUF_SIZE];**

Thread pools

- **A server application (for example, a Web server) could create a thread to handle each client request … but this brings issues:**
	- Although it is cheaper than creating a process, creating a thread is costly, especially regarding the request service time
	- If there is no bound on the number of concurrently active threads, we could exhaust the system resources (CPU, RAM) and cause thrashing
- **Thread pools address these two above issues – Principle:**
	- Create a number of threads when the (server application) process starts and place them into a pool where they wait for work
	- When a server receives a request, it awakens a thread from the pool if any available and waits otherwise
	- When the thread has finished servicing the request, it returns to the pool, waiting for more work